

of the representation D_2 , and so D_2 would be reducible, which is contrary to our assumption that D_1 and D_2 are irreducible. So the null space $\mathcal{N}(A)$ must be the whole space upon which A acts, that is, $A = 0$.

A similar argument shows that if $\langle y|A = 0$ for some bra $\langle y|$, then $A = 0$.

So either A is zero or it annihilates no ket and no bra. In the latter case, A must be square and invertible, which would imply that $D_2(g) = A^{-1}D_1(g)A$, that is, that D_1 and D_2 are equivalent representations, which is contrary to our assumption that they are inequivalent. The only way out is that A vanishes.

Part 2: If for a finite-dimensional, irreducible representation $D(g)$ of a group G , we have $D(g)A = AD(g)$ for some matrix A and for all $g \in G$, then $A = cI$. That is, any matrix that commutes with every element of a finite-dimensional, irreducible representation must be a multiple of the identity matrix.

Proof: Every square matrix A has at least one eigenvector $|x\rangle$ and eigenvalue c so that $A|x\rangle = c|x\rangle$ because its characteristic equation $\det(A - cI) = 0$ always has at least one root by the fundamental theorem of algebra (5.73). So the null space $\mathcal{N}(A - cI)$ has dimension greater than zero. The assumption $D(g)A = AD(g)$ for all $g \in G$ implies that $D(g)(A - cI) = (A - cI)D(g)$ for all $g \in G$. Let P be the projection operator onto the null space $\mathcal{N}(A - cI)$. Then we have $(A - cI)D(g)P = D(g)(A - cI)P = 0$ for all $g \in G$ which implies that $D(g)P$ maps vectors into the null space $\mathcal{N}(A - cI)$. This null space therefore is **a subspace that is** invariant under $D(g)$, which means that D is reducible unless the null space $\mathcal{N}(A - cI)$ is the whole space. Since by assumption D is irreducible, it follows that $\mathcal{N}(A - cI)$ is the whole space, that is, that $A = cI$. (Issai Schur, 1875–1941)

Example 10.9 (Schur, Wigner, and Eckart) Suppose an arbitrary observable O is invariant under the action of the rotation group $SU(2)$ represented by unitary operators $U(g)$ for $g \in SU(2)$

$$U^\dagger(g)OU(g) = O \quad \text{or} \quad [O, U(g)] = 0. \quad (10.23)$$

These unitary rotation operators commute with the square \mathbf{J}^2 of the angular momentum $[\mathbf{J}^2, U] = 0$. Suppose that they also leave the hamiltonian H unchanged $[H, U] = 0$. Then as shown in example 10.7, the state $U|E, j, m\rangle$ is a sum of states all with the same values of j and E . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m'} \langle E, j, m | O | E', j', m' \rangle \langle E', j', m' | U(g) | E', j', m'' \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m'} \langle E, j, m | U(g) | E, j, m' \rangle \langle E, j, m' | O | E', j', m'' \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (10.24)$$

or in **the notation** of (10.13)

$$\sum_{m'} \langle E, j, m | O | E', j', m' \rangle D^{(j')} (g)_{m'm''} = \sum_{m'} D^{(j)} (g)_{mm'} \langle E, j, m' | O | E', j', m'' \rangle. \quad (10.25)$$

Now Part 1 of Schur's lemma tells us that the matrix $\langle E, j, m | O | E', j', m' \rangle$ must vanish unless the representations are equivalent, which is to say unless $j = j'$. So we have

$$\sum_{m'} \langle E, j, m | O | E', j, m' \rangle D^{(j)} (g)_{m'm''} = \sum_{m'} D^{(j)} (g)_{mm'} \langle E, j, m' | O | E', j, m'' \rangle. \quad (10.26)$$

Now Part 2 of Schur's lemma tells us that the matrix $\langle E, j, m | O | E', j, m' \rangle$ must be a multiple of the identity. Thus the symmetry of O under rotations simplifies the matrix element to

$$\langle E, j, m | O | E', j', m' \rangle = \delta_{jj'} \delta_{mm'} O_j(E, E'). \quad (10.27)$$

This result is a special case of the **Wigner-Eckart theorem** (Eugene Wigner 1902–1995, Carl Eckart 1902–1973). \square

10.8 Characters

Suppose the $n \times n$ matrices $D_{ij}(g)$ form a representation of a group $G \ni g$. The **character** $\chi_D(g)$ of the matrix $D(g)$ is the trace

$$\chi_D(g) = \text{Tr} D(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n D_{ii}(g). \quad (10.28)$$

Traces are cyclic, that is, $\text{Tr} ABC = \text{Tr} BCA = \text{Tr} CAB$. So if two representations D and D' are equivalent, so that $D'(g) = S^{-1}D(g)S$, then they have the same characters because

$$\chi_{D'}(g) = \text{Tr} D'(g) = \text{Tr} (S^{-1}D(g)S) = \text{Tr} (D(g)SS^{-1}) = \text{Tr} D(g) = \chi_D(g). \quad (10.29)$$

If two group elements g_1 and g_2 are in the same conjugacy class, that is, if $g_2 = gg_1g^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$, then they have the same character in a given representation $D(g)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_D(g_2) &= \text{Tr} D(g_2) = \text{Tr} D(gg_1g^{-1}) = \text{Tr} (D(g)D(g_1)D(g^{-1})) \\ &= \text{Tr} (D(g_1)D^{-1}(g)D(g)) = \text{Tr} D(g_1) = \chi_D(g_1). \end{aligned} \quad (10.30)$$

10.9 Tensor Products

Suppose $D_1(g)$ is a k -dimensional representation of a group G , and $D_2(g)$ is an n -dimensional representation of the same group. Suppose the vectors $|\ell\rangle$ for $\ell = 1 \dots k$ are the basis vectors of the k -dimensional space V_k on which $D_1(g)$ acts, and that the vectors $|m\rangle$ for $m = 1 \dots n$ are the basis vectors of the n -dimensional space V_n on which $D_2(g)$ acts. The $k \times n$ vectors $|\ell, m\rangle$ are basis vectors for the kn -dimensional tensor-product space V_{kn} . The matrices $D_{D_1 \otimes D_2}(g)$ defined as

$$\langle \ell', m' | D_{D_1 \otimes D_2}(g) | \ell, m \rangle = \langle \ell' | D_1(g) | \ell \rangle \langle m' | D_2(g) | m \rangle \quad (10.31)$$

act in this kn -dimensional space V_{kn} and form a representation of the group G ; this tensor-product representation usually is reducible. Many tricks help one to decompose reducible tensor-product representations into direct sums of irreducible representations (Georgi, 1999, [chap. 10](#)).

Example 10.10 (Adding Angular Momenta) The addition of angular momenta illustrates both the tensor product and its reduction to a direct sum of irreducible representations. Let $D_{j_1}(g)$ and $D_{j_2}(g)$ respectively be the $(2j_1 + 1) \times (2j_1 + 1)$ and the $(2j_2 + 1) \times (2j_2 + 1)$ representations of the rotation group $SU(2)$. The tensor-product representation $D_{D_{j_1} \otimes D_{j_2}}$

$$\langle m'_1, m'_2 | D_{D_{j_1} \otimes D_{j_2}} | m_1, m_2 \rangle = \langle m'_1 | D_{j_1}(g) | m_1 \rangle \langle m'_2 | D_{j_2}(g) | m_2 \rangle \quad (10.32)$$

is reducible into a direct sum of all the irreducible representations of $SU(2)$ from $D_{j_1+j_2}(g)$ down to $D_{|j_1-j_2|}(g)$ in integer steps:

$$D_{D_{j_1} \otimes D_{j_2}} = D_{j_1+j_2} \oplus D_{j_1+j_2-1} \oplus \dots \oplus D_{|j_1-j_2|+1} \oplus D_{|j_1-j_2|} \quad (10.33)$$

each irreducible representation occurring once in the direct sum. \square

Example 10.11 (Adding Two Spins) When one adds $j_1 = 1/2$ to $j_2 = 1/2$, one finds that the tensor-product matrix $D_{D_{1/2} \otimes D_{1/2}}$ is equivalent to the direct sum $D_1 \oplus D_0$

$$D_{D_{1/2} \otimes D_{1/2}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} D_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & 0 \\ 0 & D_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{pmatrix} S \quad (10.34)$$

where the matrices S , D_1 , and D_0 respectively are 4×4 , 3×3 , and 1×1 . \square