

Higher-Order Non-Degenerate Perturbation Theory

We want to use our knowledge of the
eigen $|n^0\rangle$ of H_0

$$H_0 |n^0\rangle = E_n^0 |n^0\rangle \quad (1)$$

to find those of

$$H = H_0 + \lambda V \quad (2)$$

as power-series expansions in λ :

$$(H_0 + \lambda V) |n\rangle = E_n |n\rangle. \quad (3)$$

Let

$$\Delta_n = E_n - E_n^0 \quad (4)$$

be the exact energy shift of the n th level.

We want to solve

$$(H_0 + \lambda V) |n\rangle = E_n |n\rangle = (\Delta_n + E_n^0) |n\rangle \quad (5)$$

or

$$(E_n^0 - H_0) |n\rangle = (\lambda V - \Delta_n) |n\rangle, \quad (6)$$

which implies that $(\lambda V - \Delta_n) |n\rangle$ is \perp to $|n^0\rangle$

$$0 = \langle n^0 | (E_n^0 - H_0) |n\rangle = \langle n^0 | (\lambda V - \Delta_n) |n\rangle. \quad (7)$$

We can't just invert (5) because $1/(E_n^0 - H_0)$ has an infinite diagonal matrix element.

But we can use the projection operator

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n &= 1 - |n^0\rangle\langle n^0| \\ &= \sum_{k \neq n} |k^0\rangle\langle k^0| \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

on the subspace orthogonal to $|n^0\rangle$, to form

$$\frac{1}{E_n^0 - H_0} \phi_n = \phi_n \frac{1}{E_n^0 - H_0} = \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} = \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{|k^0\rangle\langle k^0|}{E_n^0 - E_k^0} \quad (9)$$

in which the non-degeneracy of H_0 is crucial.

Since by (7) $\langle n^0 | \lambda V - \Delta_n | n \rangle = 0$, the state $(\lambda V - \Delta_n) | n \rangle$ lies in the orthogonal subspace and so

$$(\lambda V - \Delta_n) | n \rangle = \phi_n (\lambda V - \Delta_n) | n \rangle. \quad (10)$$

So we could say

$$|n\rangle \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{E_n^0 - H_0} \phi_n (\lambda V - \Delta_n) | n \rangle,$$

but we know that $|n\rangle \rightarrow |n^0\rangle$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

So a smarter guess is

$$|n\rangle = C_n(\lambda) |n^0\rangle + \frac{1}{E_n^0 - H_0} \phi_n (\lambda V - \Delta_n) |n\rangle. \quad (11)$$

$$= C_n(\lambda) |n^0\rangle + \phi_n \frac{1}{E_n^0 - H_0} \phi_n (\lambda V - \Delta_n) |n\rangle. \quad (12)$$

We expect that as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$

$$\langle n^0 | n \rangle = C_n(\lambda) \langle n^0 | n^0 \rangle = C_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 1. \quad (13)$$

To simplify what follows, we'll set

$$C_n(\lambda) = 1 \quad (14)$$

and worry later about how to normalize $|n\rangle$.

So we now want to solve

$$|n\rangle = |n^0\rangle + \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} (\lambda V - \Delta_n) |n\rangle. \quad (15)$$

By (7)

$$0 = \langle n^0 | (\lambda V - \Delta_n) |n\rangle = \lambda \langle n^0 | V |n\rangle - \Delta_n$$

so the energy shift Δ_n is

$$\Delta_n = \lambda \langle n^0 | V |n\rangle. \quad (16)$$

Before going on, let's verify that (15) does imply $H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$. By (10),

we see that (15) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (E_n^0 - H_0)|n\rangle &= P_n (\lambda V - \Delta_n)|n\rangle = (\lambda V - \Delta_n)|n\rangle \\ &= (\lambda V - (E_n - E_n^0))|n\rangle \end{aligned}$$

or

$$-H_0|n\rangle = (\lambda V - E_n)|n\rangle$$

or

$$(H_0 + \lambda V)|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle. \quad (17)$$

So (15) is what we need.

We now want to solve (15) & (16) as power series in the small parameter λ :

$$|n\rangle = |n^0\rangle + \lambda |n^1\rangle + \lambda^2 |n^2\rangle + \dots \quad (18)$$

$$\Delta_n = \lambda \Delta_n^1 + \lambda^2 \Delta_n^2 + \dots \quad (19)$$

We put (18) in (16) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n &= \lambda \langle n^0 | V (|n^0\rangle + \lambda |n^1\rangle + \lambda^2 |n^2\rangle + \dots) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k+1} \langle n^0 | V |n^k\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

So

$$\Delta_n^k = \langle n^0 | V |n^{k-1}\rangle, \quad (21)$$

eg.

$$\Delta_n^1 = \langle n^0 | V |n^0\rangle, \quad \Delta_n^2 = \langle n^0 | V |n^1\rangle, \text{ etc.} \quad (22)$$

Now we put (18) and (20) in (15) to get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k |n^k\rangle = |n^0\rangle + \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} \left(\lambda V - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \lambda^l \Delta_m^l \right) \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j |n^j\rangle, \quad (23)$$

But since

$$\phi_n \Delta_m |n^0\rangle = 0 \quad (24)$$

(23) is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k |n^k\rangle = |n^0\rangle + \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} \lambda V |n^0\rangle + \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} \left(\lambda V - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \lambda^l \Delta_m^l \right) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^j |n^j\rangle, \quad (25)$$

To zeroth order

$$|n^0\rangle = |n^0\rangle, \quad (26)$$

To first order

$$\lambda |n^1\rangle = \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} \lambda V |n^0\rangle \quad (27)$$

or

$$|n^1\rangle = \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} V |n^0\rangle, \quad (28)$$

This last (28) for $|n\rangle$ together with (22) gives

$$\Delta_n^2 = \langle n^0 | V | n^1 \rangle = \langle n^0 | V \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} V | n^0 \rangle \quad (29)$$

$$= \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{\langle n^0 | V | k^0 \rangle \langle k^0 | V | n^0 \rangle}{E_n^0 - E_k^0} \quad (30)$$

$$\Delta_n^2 = \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{|V_{kn}|^2}{E_n^0 - E_k^0} \quad (31)$$

where

$$V_{kn} = \langle k^0 | V | n^0 \rangle. \quad (32)$$

Suppose $n = 1$ is the ground state. Then $E_1^0 - E_k^0 < 0$ for all k since there is no degeneracy by assumption. Thus the second-order correction Δ_1^2 the ground-state energy is negative

$$\Delta_1^2 = \sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{|V_{k1}|^2}{E_1^0 - E_k^0} < 0 \quad (33)$$

when the system is non-degenerate.

We now use (28) for $|m^1\rangle$ in (25) (to find $|m^2\rangle$)

$$\lambda^2 |m^2\rangle = \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} (\lambda V - \lambda \Delta'_n) \lambda |m^1\rangle \quad (34)$$

or

$$|m^2\rangle = \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} V \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} V |m^0\rangle$$

$$- \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} \langle n^0 | V | n^0 \rangle \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} V |m^0\rangle \quad (35)$$

or

$$|m^2\rangle = \sum_{k, l \neq n} \frac{|k^0\rangle}{E_n^0 - E_k^0} \frac{V_{kl} V_{em}}{E_n^0 - E_l^0} - \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{|k^0\rangle V_{nn} V_{kn}}{(E_n^0 - E_k^0)^2} \quad (36)$$

$$= \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{|k^0\rangle}{E_n^0 - E_k^0} \left(\sum_{l \neq n} \frac{V_{kl} V_{en}}{E_n^0 - E_l^0} - \frac{V_{nn} V_{kn}}{E_n^0 - E_k^0} \right) \quad (37)$$

One can go on if necessary.

To order λ^2 then

$$E_n = E_n^0 + \lambda \langle n^0 | V | n^0 \rangle + \lambda^2 \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{|\langle k^0 | V | n^0 \rangle|^2}{E_n^0 - E_k^0} \quad (34)$$

and (apart from normalization)

$$|n\rangle = |n^0\rangle + \lambda \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} V |n^0\rangle$$

$$+ \lambda^2 \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} V \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} V |n^0\rangle$$

$$- \lambda^2 \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} \langle n^0 | V | n^0 \rangle \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} V |n^0\rangle \quad (35)$$

$$|n\rangle = |n^0\rangle + \frac{\lambda \phi_n V |n^0\rangle}{E_n^0 - H_0} + \lambda^2 \left(\frac{\phi_n V}{E_n^0 - H_0} \right)^2 |n^0\rangle - \lambda^2 \langle n^0 | V | n^0 \rangle \left(\frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} \right)^2 V |n^0\rangle.$$

Suppose t & b are two levels coupled by $\langle t^0 | V | b^0 \rangle$ with $E_t^0 > E_b^0$. Then

$$\Delta_{t_b}^2 = \frac{|\langle b^0 | V | t^0 \rangle|^2}{E_t^0 - E_b^0} > 0 \quad (36)$$

while

$$\Delta_{b_t}^2 = \frac{|\langle t^0 | V | b^0 \rangle|^2}{E_b^0 - E_t^0} < 0 \quad (37)$$

That is, the correction Δ_t^2 due to b is positive while Δ_b^2 due to t is negative. The levels repel each other.

We may normalize $|n\rangle$

$$|n\rangle_N = Z_n^{1/2} |n\rangle \quad (38)$$

so that

$$1 = {}_N\langle n|n\rangle_N = Z_n^{1/2} {}_N\langle n|n\rangle. \quad (39)$$

By (14)

$$C_n(\lambda) = \langle n^0|n\rangle = 1 \quad (40)$$

so

$$\langle n^0|n\rangle_N = Z_n^{1/2} \langle n^0|n\rangle = Z_n^{1/2}. \quad (41)$$

or

$$Z_n^{1/2} = \langle n^0|n\rangle_N. \quad (42)$$

Now

$$1 = {}_N\langle n|n\rangle_N = Z_n \langle n|n\rangle \quad (43)$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n^{-1} &= \langle n|n\rangle = (\langle n^0| + \lambda \langle n^1| + \dots)(|n^0\rangle + \lambda |n^1\rangle + \dots) \\ &= 1 + \lambda^2 \langle n^1|n^1\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Since $\langle n^0|n^1\rangle = 0$ by (28).

Using (28) for $|n\rangle$ we have

$$Z_n^{-1} = 1 + \lambda^2 \langle n^0 | V \left(\frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} \right) V | n^0 \rangle$$

$$= 1 + \lambda^2 \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{|V_{nk}|^2}{(E_n^0 - E_k^0)^2} \quad (45)$$

or

$$Z_n \approx 1 - \lambda^2 \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{|V_{nk}|^2}{(E_n^0 - E_k^0)^2} \quad (46)$$

By (24), $Z_n = |\langle n | n^0 \rangle|^2$ is the probability that $|n\rangle_n$ is in the state $|n\rangle_n$. The stronger the coupling V_{kn} to other levels, the smaller this probability is.

Using (34) we have

$$\frac{\partial E_n}{\partial E_0} = 1 - \lambda^2 \sum \frac{|V_{kn}|^2}{(E_n^0 - E_k^0)^2} = Z_n \quad (47)$$

Finally, it may be worth comparing this theory of perturbations to the usual way one diagonalizes a (simple) hamiltonian, H . There one finds the roots of the equation

$$0 = \det(\hat{H} - EI) \quad (48)$$

in which I is the $N \times N$ identity matrix and \hat{H} is the (truncated) $N \times N$ matrix

$$\hat{H}_{kl} = \langle k^0 | H | l^0 \rangle \quad (49)$$

and the N basis states $|k^0\rangle$. One must choose these basis states so that one can compute the N^2 matrix elements (49).

Computer programs (e.g., LINPACK) then can find all the e-vals E and all the e-vecs for this truncated \hat{H} . One first finds a given e-val E_n , and then one finds its e-vec $|n\rangle$, satisfying

$$\hat{H} |n\rangle = E_n |n\rangle. \quad (50)$$

As $N \rightarrow \infty$, one gets all the solutions.

In perturbation theory, by contrast, one iteratively finds the energy shift Δ_n^1 and then the connection $|n^1\rangle$ to the e-vec and then Δ_n^2 and $|n^2\rangle$ etc.

But suppose somehow one knew

the exact value of E_n and so too
 of $\Delta_n = E_n - E_n^0$. Then one could cast (15)

$$|n\rangle = |n^0\rangle + \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} (\lambda V - \Delta_n) |n\rangle \quad (51)$$

into the form

$$\left(1 - \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} (\lambda V - \Delta_n)\right) |n\rangle = |n^0\rangle \quad (52)$$

and find

$$|n\rangle = \left[1 - \frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} (\lambda V - \Delta_n)\right]^{-1} |n^0\rangle \quad (53)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\phi_n}{E_n^0 - H_0} (\lambda V - \Delta_n) \right]^k |n^0\rangle \quad (54)$$

as long as the matrix elements of the operator inside the $[]$ are suitably small.