

Translations,

$$\langle x+dx | \alpha \rangle = \left(1 + dx \frac{d}{dx} \right) \langle x | \alpha \rangle$$

is the infinitesimal form. The finite case is

$$\langle x+a | \alpha \rangle = e^{a \frac{d}{dx}} \langle x | \alpha \rangle.$$

And in 3 dimensions

$$\langle \vec{x} + \vec{a} | \alpha \rangle = e^{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla}} \langle \vec{x} | \alpha \rangle.$$

We will introduce a unitary operator

$$U(\vec{a}) = e^{\frac{i \vec{a} \cdot \vec{p}}{\hbar}}$$

that translates $|\alpha\rangle$ so that

$$\langle \vec{x} + \vec{a} | \alpha \rangle = e^{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla}} \langle \vec{x} | \alpha \rangle$$

$$= \langle \vec{x} | e^{\frac{i \vec{a} \cdot \vec{p}}{\hbar}} | \alpha \rangle.$$

Here $\vec{p}^\dagger = \vec{p}$, so U is unitary.

$$U^\dagger = \left(e^{\frac{i \vec{a} \cdot \vec{p}}{\hbar}} \right)^\dagger = e^{-\frac{i \vec{a} \cdot \vec{p}}{\hbar}} = U^{-1}.$$

One of the surprises of quantum mechanics is that \vec{p} is the momentum operator. Let's see why.

First, let's go back to the case of being \vec{a}

$$\langle (1 + \vec{a} \cdot \nabla) \langle x | \alpha \rangle \rangle = \langle x | 1 + i \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{p}}{\hbar} | \alpha \rangle$$

So

$$\vec{a} \cdot \nabla \langle x | \alpha \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{a} \cdot \langle x | \vec{p} | \alpha \rangle$$

So

$$\frac{\hbar}{i} \vec{\nabla} \langle \vec{x} | \alpha \rangle = \langle \vec{x} | \vec{p} | \alpha \rangle$$

represents this mysterious hermitian operator \vec{p} .

Dinac's rule is that commutators are related to Poisson brackets

$$[A, B] = i\hbar \{A, B\}_{PB}$$

$$= i\hbar \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p_k} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_k} \right)$$

So

$$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar \left(\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial p_k} - \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial x_k} \right) = i\hbar \delta_{ij}$$

The identification $p_j = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$
 certainly satisfies Dirac's rule

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i, p_j] &= \frac{\hbar}{i} \left[x_i, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right] = \frac{\hbar}{i} (-\delta_{ij}) \\ &= i\hbar \delta_{ij} = i\hbar \{x_i, p_j\}_{PB}. \end{aligned}$$

But one could then ask why Dirac was right.

Before showing that \vec{p} is momentum, let's consider time translations

$$\langle \vec{x}, t+dt | \alpha \rangle = \left(1 + dt \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \langle \vec{x}, t | \alpha \rangle.$$

Again we introduce a unitary operator

$$U(dt) = e^{-i \frac{H dt}{\hbar}}$$

where $H^\dagger = H$ is Hermitian so that $U^\dagger U = 1$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + dt \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \langle x, t | \alpha \rangle &= \langle x, t | e^{-i \frac{H dt}{\hbar}} | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \langle x, t | \left(1 - i \frac{H dt}{\hbar} \right) | \alpha \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle x, t | \alpha \rangle = -i \frac{d}{dt} \langle x, t | H | \alpha \rangle$$

so that

$$i \hbar \frac{d}{dt} \langle x, t | \alpha \rangle = \langle x, t | H | \alpha \rangle.$$

This H , as you know, is the energy operator, which is called the hamiltonian so as to confuse the biologists.

The finite time translation is

$$\langle \vec{x}', t + \tau | \alpha \rangle = \langle \vec{x}, t | e^{-i \frac{HT}{\hbar}} | \alpha \rangle.$$

The finite case for space and time is

$$\langle \vec{x} + \vec{a}, t + \tau | \alpha \rangle = \langle \vec{x}, t | e^{i(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{a} - H\tau)/\hbar} | \alpha \rangle$$

in which I took for granted that the hermitian operators \vec{p} and H commute. (As fundamental operators, they do commute $[H, \vec{p}] = 0$, but when \vec{p} is just the relative momentum, as in most bound-state problems, they often don't.)

When they don't commute, we can write

$$\langle x+a, t+\tau | \alpha \rangle = \langle \vec{x}, t | e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{a}/\hbar} e^{-iH\tau/\hbar} | \alpha \rangle.$$

Suppose $|\alpha\rangle$ is an e-vec of both \vec{p} and H when $[H, \vec{p}] = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x+a, t+\tau | \alpha \rangle &= \langle x, t | e^{i(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{a} - H\tau)/\hbar} | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \langle x, t | e^{i(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{a} - E'\tau)/\hbar} | \alpha \rangle \\ &= e^{i(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{a} - E'\tau)/\hbar} \langle x, t | \alpha \rangle \end{aligned}$$

in which

$$\vec{p} |\alpha\rangle = \vec{p}' |\alpha\rangle$$

$$H |\alpha\rangle = E' |\alpha\rangle$$

and we might write

$$|\alpha\rangle = |\vec{p}', E'\rangle.$$

One further step

$$\langle x+a, t+\tau | \vec{p}', E' \rangle = e^{i[\vec{p}'\cdot(\vec{x}+a) - E'(t+\tau)]/\hbar} \langle \vec{0}, 0 | \alpha \rangle$$

or more simply

$$\langle \vec{x}', t | \vec{p}', E' \rangle = e^{i(\vec{p}'\cdot\vec{x} - E't)/\hbar} \langle \vec{0}, 0 | \alpha \rangle.$$

So the wave-function of an e-vec of \vec{p}, M is a plane wave.

Now bring in special relativity:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{p} \\ M/c \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a 4-vec as is } \begin{pmatrix} \vec{x} \\ ct \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the phase of this wave is a Lorentz scalar if we identify \vec{p} with momentum and M with energy. That is nice because we'd like to represent Lorentz transformations by unitary operators

$$U_L |p', E'\rangle = |p'', E''\rangle$$

$$U_L |\vec{x}, t\rangle = |\vec{x}', t'\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{x}', t' | p'', E'' \rangle &= \langle \vec{x}, t | U_L^\dagger U_L | p', E' \rangle \\ &= \langle \vec{x}, t | p', E' \rangle \\ &= e^{i(p' \cdot x - E' t)/\hbar} \langle \vec{0}, 0 | \psi \rangle \\ &= e^{i(p'' \cdot x' - E'' t')/\hbar} \langle \vec{0}, 0 | \psi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

because $x \cdot p' - t E'$ is a scalar under L. transfs.

Suppose now that $|\alpha\rangle$ is a superposition of $|\vec{p}', E'\rangle$ states.

$$|\alpha\rangle = \int d^3 p' |\vec{p}', E'\rangle \langle \vec{p}', E' | \alpha \rangle$$

where $E' = E'(\vec{p}')$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{x}, t | \alpha \rangle &= \int d^3 p' \langle \vec{x}, t | \vec{p}', E' \rangle \langle \vec{p}', E' | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \int d^3 p' \frac{e^{i(p'x - E't)/\hbar}}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3/2}} \langle \vec{p}', E' | \alpha \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The funny factor $\frac{1}{\hbar^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3/2}}$ is

there to make

$$\langle \vec{x}' | \vec{x}'' \rangle = \int d^3 p' \langle \vec{x}' | \vec{p}' \rangle \langle \vec{p}' | \vec{x}'' \rangle$$

$$= \int d^3 p' \langle \vec{x}' | \vec{p}' \rangle \langle \vec{p}' | \vec{x}'' \rangle$$

$$= \int d^3 p' \frac{e^{i p' \cdot (x' - x'')/\hbar}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3}$$

$$= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i \hbar k \cdot (x' - x'')/\hbar} = \delta^3(x' - x'')$$

Let's go to 1-dimension and assume that $\langle p' | E' | \alpha \rangle$ is a smooth function of \vec{p}' . Then the amplitude will be biggest when the phase is stationary

$$0 = \frac{d}{dp'} (p'x - E(p')t) \Big|_{p'=p_0}$$

$$0 = x - \frac{dE(p')}{dp'} t \Big|_{p_0}$$

or

$$v = \frac{dE(p')}{dp'} \Big|_{p_0}$$

If $E(p') = p'^2/(2m)$, then

$$v = \frac{p_0}{m} \text{ makes sense as the}$$

group velocity. Here p_0 is the maximum of the smooth (real, positive) function $\langle p' | E' | \alpha \rangle$.

In 3-D, with relativity, the group velocity is

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v} &= \nabla_p E(p) = \nabla_p \sqrt{c^4 m^2 + \vec{p}^2 c^2} \\ &= \frac{\vec{p} c^2}{\sqrt{c^4 m^2 + p_0^2 c^2}} = \frac{\vec{p} c^2}{E_0} = \vec{v}_0 \end{aligned}$$

in which we used

$$\vec{p} = \frac{m \vec{v}}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}$$

$$E = \frac{m c^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}$$

so

$$\frac{\vec{p} c^2}{E} = \frac{\vec{v}}{c^2} c^2 = \vec{v}$$