

Light and Atoms

In our unrationalized Lorentz-Heaviside units,
Maxwell's equations are (4, 4, 2)

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = 4\pi\rho \quad \nabla \times \vec{B} - \frac{1}{c} \dot{\vec{E}} = \frac{4\pi\vec{j}}{c} \quad 1$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad \nabla \times \vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} \dot{\vec{B}} = 0 \quad 2$$

The scalar ϕ and vector \vec{A} potentials
give \vec{E} & \vec{B} as

$$\vec{E} = -\nabla\phi - \frac{1}{c} \dot{\vec{A}} \quad 3$$

and

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A} \quad 4$$

which imply the two homogeneous equations (2).

The gauge fields (ϕ, \vec{A}) form a 4-vector

$$A^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \vec{A} \end{pmatrix} \quad 5$$

and under the gauge transformation

$$A'_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \lambda(x) \quad 6$$

the fields \vec{E} & \vec{B} are unchanged.

In the Coulomb gauge

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0 \quad 7$$

and so by Gauss's law

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -\nabla^2 \phi = -\Delta \phi = 4\pi \rho. \quad 8$$

So one can solve for the scalar potential ϕ

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int d^3y \frac{\rho(\mathbf{y}, t)}{|\vec{\mathbf{x}} - \vec{\mathbf{y}}|} \quad 9$$

since

$$-\Delta_x \frac{1}{|\vec{\mathbf{x}} - \vec{\mathbf{y}}|} = 4\pi \delta^{(3)}(\vec{\mathbf{x}} - \vec{\mathbf{y}}). \quad 10$$

In the absence of charges and currents, the "free" electromagnetic vector potential in the Coulomb gauge is transverse

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0 \quad 11$$

and obeys the wave equation

$$\square \vec{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \Delta \vec{A} - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 \vec{A} = 0. \quad 12$$

The basic solutions of the wave equation (12) are

$$\vec{A}(\vec{x}, t) = \vec{A}_0 e^{i(k \cdot x - \omega t)} \quad (13)$$

because then

$$\ddot{\vec{A}} = -\omega^2 \vec{A} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\Delta \vec{A} = -k^2 \vec{A} \quad (15)$$

and so (13) solves (12) if

$$-k^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} = 0. \quad (16)$$

$$\text{So } \omega = kc \text{ where } k = |\vec{k}|. \quad (17)$$

because of the Coulomb-gauge condition (7), we need

$$0 = \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{A}_0 = 0. \quad (18)$$

So \vec{A}_0 must be a vector \perp to \vec{k} .

4

Box quantization: We imagine the radiation inside a large box of side L and volume

$$V = L^3 \quad (19)$$

We impose periodic boundary conditions

$$\vec{A}(\vec{0}, y, z, t) = \vec{A}(L, y, z, t). \quad 20$$

So we set

$$A(x, t) = \frac{\epsilon_r(\vec{k})}{\sqrt{V}} e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega t)} \quad 21$$

with $\omega = kc$, $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_r(\vec{k}) = 0$, and $\quad 22$

$$\vec{k} = \frac{2\pi}{L} (m_1, m_2, m_3) \quad 23$$

where $m_1, m_2, m_3 = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \text{etc.}$ $\quad 24$

The two vectors $\vec{\epsilon}_r(\vec{k})$ are \perp to each other and to \vec{k}

$$\epsilon_r(\vec{k}) \cdot \epsilon_s(\vec{k}) = \delta_{rs} \quad 25$$

$$\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_r(\vec{k}) = 0. \quad 26$$

These are the polarization vectors.

We now expand the gauge field $\vec{A}(\vec{x}, t)$ as a Fourier series

$$\vec{A}(\vec{x}, t) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \sum_{r=1}^2 \left(\frac{\hbar c^2}{V \omega_{\vec{k}}} \right)^{1/2} \left[\vec{E}_r(\vec{k}) a_r(\vec{k}, t) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} + \vec{E}_r^*(\vec{k}) a_r^\dagger(\vec{k}, t) e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \right] \quad 27$$

Here $\hbar = 2\pi\hbar$ is Planck's constant.

Often one uses real polarization vectors \vec{e}_α , which represent linearly polarized fields.

If A is to satisfy the wave equation (17)

$$0 = \square A = \Delta A - \frac{1}{c^2} \ddot{A} \quad 28$$

then we need

$$-k^2 a - \frac{1}{c^2} \ddot{a} = 0 \quad 29$$

or

$$\ddot{a} = -(kc)^2 a \quad 30$$

that is

$$a_r(\vec{k}, t) = a_r(\vec{k}) e^{-i\omega_{\vec{k}} t} \quad 31$$

with

$$\omega_{\vec{k}} = kc, \quad 32$$

The energy of E & B fields in empty space is

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int [E^2(x,t) + B^2(x,t)] d^3x. \quad 33$$

If one substitutes the Fourier expansion (27) into (3)

$$\vec{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \dot{\vec{A}} \quad (\text{empty space}) \quad 34$$

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A} \quad 35$$

and then puts the resulting expressions for E and B into (33), then one finds

$$H_0 = \sum_{\vec{k}} \sum_{r=1}^2 \hbar \omega_k [a_r^+(\vec{k}) a_r(\vec{k}) + \frac{1}{2}]. \quad 36$$

in which we used the commutation relation

$$[a_r(\vec{k}), a_s^+(\vec{k}')] = \delta_{\vec{k}, \vec{k}'} \delta_{rs} \quad 37$$

to find

$$a_r(\vec{k}) a_r^+(\vec{k}) = a_r^+(\vec{k}) a_r(\vec{k}) + 1. \quad (38)$$

The "annihilation" operators all commute

$$[a_r(\vec{k}), a_{r'}(\vec{k}')] = 0. \quad 39$$

and the adjoint of this equation implies that the creation operators do too $[a^+, a^+] = 0$.

That is,

$$[a_r^\dagger(\vec{k}), a_{r'}^\dagger(\vec{k}')] = 0. \quad 40$$

So we now have our hamiltonian for how light interacts with atoms:

$$H_0 = \sum_{\vec{k}, r} \hbar \omega (a_r^\dagger(\vec{k}) a_r(\vec{k}) + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{e^2}{r} = H_0^{EM} + H_0^{AT} \quad 41$$

for a single electron around a fixed proton, and

$$V = -\frac{e}{mc} \vec{A}(\vec{x}, t) \cdot \vec{p} + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \vec{A}(\vec{x}, t)^2 \quad 42$$

The term V comes from the expansion of

$$\frac{1}{2m} \left(\vec{p} - \frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \right)^2 = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} - \frac{e}{2mc} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{A} - \frac{e}{2mc} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \vec{A}^2 \quad 43$$

with the Coulomb-gauge condition $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0$ which implies

$$\vec{p} \cdot \vec{A} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \vec{A} \cdot \nabla = \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}, \quad 44$$

Absorption of a photon by an atom is the transition

$$|i, n_r(\vec{k})\rangle \rightarrow |f, n_r(\vec{k})-1\rangle \quad 45$$

in which the photon number $n_r(\vec{k})$ drops by 1 as the atom absorbs a photon of type \vec{k}, r and the electron rises from the initial state i to the final state f .

The main part of V for this process is

$$V = - \frac{e}{mc} \vec{A}(\vec{x}, t) \cdot \vec{p} \quad 46$$

and in the interaction picture it is

$$V_I(t) = - \frac{e}{mc} \vec{A}(\vec{x}, t) \cdot e^{-iH_0^{AT}t/\hbar} \vec{p} e^{-iH_0^{AT}t/\hbar} \quad 47$$

in which H_0^{AT} is

$$H_0^{AT} = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{e^2}{r} \quad 48$$

The field $\vec{A}(\vec{x}, t)$ given by (27) where the a 's and a^\dagger 's have the harmonic time dependence of eq. (31) already is in the EM-interaction picture, $\exp(iH_0^{EM}t/\hbar) A(\vec{x}, t) \exp(-iH_0^{EM}t/\hbar)$

$$\vec{A}(\vec{x}, t) = \sum_{\vec{k}, \nu} \left(\frac{\hbar c^2}{\omega_{\vec{k}} V} \right)^{1/2} [\epsilon_{\nu}(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega_{\vec{k}} t} a_{\nu}(\vec{k}) + \epsilon_{\nu}^*(\vec{k}) a_{\nu}^\dagger(\vec{k}) e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega_{\vec{k}} t}] \quad (49)$$

That is,

$$\vec{A}_{\pm}(x,t) = e^{-i(H_0^{EM} t/\hbar - i\hbar_0^{EM} t/\hbar)} A_{\pm}(x,0) e, \quad (50)$$

where

$$H_0^{EM} = \sum_{k\nu} \hbar \omega_k \left[a_{\nu}^{\dagger}(k) a_{\nu}(k) + \frac{1}{2} \right]. \quad (51)$$

Now

$$|i, m_i(k), t\rangle_I = T \left\{ e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t V_{\pm}(t') dt'} \right\} |i, m_i, 0\rangle_I \quad (52)$$

so

$$|i, m_i(k), t\rangle_I = \left(1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t V_{\pm}(t') dt' \right) |i, m_i, 0\rangle_I \quad (53)$$

to lowest order. The amplitude for the transition to $|f, m_f(k)-1\rangle$ then is

$$\langle f, m_f(k)-1 | i, m_i(k), t \rangle_I = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle f, m-1 | \int_0^t V_{\pm}(t') dt' | i, m_i, 0 \rangle$$

$$= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \langle f, m-1 | e^{iE_f t'/\hbar} \left(-\frac{e}{mc} \right) \vec{A}(x,t) \cdot \vec{p} e^{-iE_i t'/\hbar} | i, m_i, 0 \rangle$$

$$= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(-\frac{e}{mc} \right) \left(\frac{\hbar c^2}{\omega_k V} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle f | e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \vec{\epsilon}_{\nu}(k) \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle \langle m-1 | a_{\nu}(k) | m \rangle$$

$$\int_0^t e^{i(E_f - E_i)t'/\hbar - i\omega_k t'} dt' \quad (54)$$

$$\langle f, m-1 | e^{i(H_0^{AT} + H_0^{EM})t'/\hbar} A(x,0) \cdot \vec{p} e^{-i(E_f - E_i)t'/\hbar} | i, m \rangle = \langle f, m-1 | A_{\pm}(x,t) \cdot \vec{p} | i, m \rangle e, \quad (55)$$

The usual harmonic-oscillator rules

$$a_r(k) |n(k)\rangle = \sqrt{n_r(k)} |n_r(k)-1\rangle \quad 56$$

apply. So

$$\langle f, m-1 | i, n, t \rangle_I = \frac{ie}{\hbar mc} \left(\frac{\hbar c^2}{\omega_k V} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{n} \langle f | e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \vec{\epsilon}_r(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle$$

$$\frac{e^{i(E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega_k)t/\hbar} - 1}{i(E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega_k)/\hbar}$$

57

or

$$\langle f, m-1 | i, n, t \rangle_I = \frac{e}{mc} \left(\frac{\hbar c^2}{\omega_k V} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{n} \langle f | e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \epsilon_r(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{p} | i \rangle$$

$$\times \frac{e^{i(\omega_{fi} - \omega_k)t} - 1}{E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega_k}$$

58

So as before (Sec. 5.6), the probability is

$$P_{i \rightarrow f}(t) = \left(\frac{e}{mc} \right)^2 \frac{\hbar c^2}{\omega_k V} |\langle f | e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \epsilon_r(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{p} | i \rangle|^2 \frac{1}{(E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega_k)^2}$$

$$\times \sin^2(\omega_{fi} - \omega_k)t/2$$

59

And since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega_k)^2} \sin^2 \frac{(E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega_k)t}{2\hbar} = \frac{\pi t}{2\hbar} \delta(E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega_k) \quad (60)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(i, n \rightarrow f, n-1) &= \frac{2\pi t}{\hbar} |V_{fi}|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega_k) \\ &= \frac{2\pi t}{\hbar} \left(\frac{e}{mc}\right)^2 \frac{\hbar c^2}{\omega_k V} |\langle f | e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \epsilon_r(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{p} | i \rangle|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega_k) \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

The transition rate w then is

$$w_{ni \rightarrow f, n-1} = \frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left(\frac{e}{mc}\right)^2 \frac{\hbar c^2}{\omega_k V} |\langle f | e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \epsilon_r(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{p} | i \rangle|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega_k) \quad (62)$$

Now what do we do with the matrix element? First, we assume that

$$\lambda \gg a_0$$

so that $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \approx 1$ (63)

This is true for visible light which is

a few hundred nanometers while $\lambda_0 \sim \frac{1 \text{ m}}{20}$.

So we now have

$$\langle f | e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \epsilon \cdot \mathbf{p} | i \rangle = \epsilon \cdot \langle f | \vec{p} | i \rangle \tag{64}$$

But

$$[\vec{x}, H_0] = \frac{i\hbar \vec{p}}{m} \tag{65}$$

so

$$\epsilon \cdot \langle f | \vec{p} | i \rangle = \epsilon \cdot \frac{m}{i\hbar} \langle f | [X, H_0] | i \rangle$$

$$= \epsilon \cdot \frac{m}{i\hbar} (E_i - E_f) \langle f | \vec{x} | i \rangle$$

$$= i \vec{\epsilon} \cdot m \omega_{fi} \langle f | \vec{x} | i \rangle \tag{66}$$

This is called the dipole approximation,

So now

$$\hat{W} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left(\frac{e}{mc} \right)^2 \frac{\hbar c^2}{\omega_i V} m^2 \omega_{fi}^2 \left| \vec{\epsilon}_r(\omega) \cdot \langle f | \vec{x} | i \rangle \right|^2 \times \delta(E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega_k) \tag{67}$$

which we must sum over final states

$$W = \int \hat{W} \rho(E_f) dE_f \tag{68}$$

If $E_r(u) = \hat{z}$, then since

$$\vec{E}_r(u) \cdot \vec{x}' = z$$

69

which commutes with L_z

$$[z, L_z] = 0$$

70

It follows that $m_f = m_i$.

71

But if $E_r(u) = \hat{x}$ or \hat{y} , then since \hat{x} and \hat{y} are linear combinations of Y_1^+ and Y_1^- (A.5.7), m must change by ± 1

$$m_f = m_i \pm 1.$$

72

Also, since under reflections $\vec{x}' \rightarrow -\vec{x}'$, the parity must also change; so if l_i is odd, then l_f is even, and vice versa.

Further, since \vec{x} is a linear combination of $Y_{l_0}^m$'s, it behaves as an $l=1$ object.

$$l_f = l_i \pm 1.$$

73

These selection rules apply to atomic hydrogen treated non-relativistically, but similar

selection rules apply more generally.

Let us consider

$$\langle i | [E \cdot x, [E \cdot x, H_0]] | i \rangle$$

$$= \langle i | E \cdot x (E \cdot x H_0 - H_0 E \cdot x) - (E \cdot x H_0 - H_0 E \cdot x) E \cdot x | i \rangle$$

$$= 2E_i \langle i | (E \cdot x)^2 | i \rangle - 2 \langle i | E \cdot x H_0 E \cdot x | i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_n \left[2E_i \langle i | E \cdot x | n \rangle \langle n | E \cdot x | i \rangle - 2E_n \langle i | E \cdot x | n \rangle \langle n | E \cdot x | i \rangle \right]$$

$$= 2 \sum_n (E_i - E_n) |\langle i | E \cdot x | n \rangle|^2.$$

74

So if $|i\rangle = |0\rangle$ is the ground state — $|1,0,0\rangle$ for the hydrogen atom — then

$$\int \omega d\omega = \int \hat{\omega} \rho(E_f) dE_f d\omega_k$$

$$= \int \sum_f \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left(\frac{e}{mc} \right)^2 \frac{\hbar c^2}{\omega_k V} m^2 \omega_k^2 |\langle + | E_r(\omega) \cdot x | i \rangle|^2$$

$$\delta(E_f - E_i - \hbar \omega_k) d\hbar \omega_k \frac{1}{\hbar}$$

75

So

$$\int \omega d\omega = \sum_f \frac{2\pi}{\hbar^2} \left(\frac{e}{c}\right)^2 \frac{mhc^3}{V} \omega_{fi} |\langle f | \mathbf{E}_r(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{x} | i \rangle|^2 \quad 76$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_f (E_i - E_f) |\langle f | \mathbf{E}_r(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{x} | i \rangle|^2 \\ = \langle i | [\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{x}, [\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{x}, H_0]] | i \rangle \end{aligned} \quad 77$$

and

$$[\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{x}, H_0] = \frac{i\hbar}{m} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{p} \quad 78$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{x}, [\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{x}, H_0]] &= \frac{i\hbar}{m} [\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{p}] \\ &= \frac{i\hbar}{m} \epsilon_i \epsilon_j [\mathbf{x}_i, p_j] = \frac{i\hbar}{m} \epsilon_i \epsilon_j i\hbar \delta_{ij} \\ &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{m} \epsilon_i^2 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m}. \end{aligned} \quad 79$$

So

$$2 \sum_f (E_f - E_i) |\langle f | \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{x} | i \rangle|^2 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m} \quad 80$$

or

$$\sum_f \frac{2m\omega_{fi}}{\hbar} |\langle f | \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{x} | i \rangle|^2 = 1. \quad 81$$

This is the Thomas-Reiche-Kuhn sum rule.

So the rate w integrated over ω is

$$\int w d\omega = \frac{\pi}{m} \left(\frac{e}{c}\right)^2 \frac{nhc^2}{\hbar v}$$

82

Physicists usually divide rates by the incident flux F which is the density of incoming particles times their speed. Here

$$F = \frac{nc}{v}$$

83

So

$$\int \sigma(\omega) d\omega = \frac{\pi}{m} \left(\frac{e}{c}\right)^2 \frac{nhc^2}{\hbar v} \frac{1}{\frac{nc}{v}}$$

$$= \frac{\pi \hbar e^2}{m c} = 2\pi^2 c \left(\frac{e^2}{mc^2}\right), \quad 84$$

which is (5.7.26) derived from QED, rather than semi-classically.